IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

SATURDAY, THE 1ST DECEMBER 2007 / 10TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 35615 of 2007(M)

AGAINST THE ORDER IN EP.1681/2000 IN OS.1113/1999 OF PRL.MUNSIFF COURT.,IRINJALAKUDA.

.....

PETITIONERS:

----

1. **DEVASSYKUTTY, AGED 55 YEARS,**
   S/O. CHAKU, CHAKKALAKKAL HOUSE, KORANODY DESOM,
   VARANDARAPPILLY VILLAGE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.

2. **PAVITHRAN, AGED 44 YEARS,**
   S/O. RAMAN, VATTAPARAMBIL HOUSE, KORANODY DESOM,
   VARANDARAPPILLY VILLAGE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.

3. **RAMAN, AGED 66 YEARS,**
   S/O. ITTAMAM, VATTAPARAMBIL HOUSE, KORANODY DESOM,
   VARANDARAPPILLY VILLAGE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.PRADEEP KUMAR.

RESPONDENT:

ANUGRAHA KURIES & LOANS PVT. LTD.,
VARANTHARAPPILLY VILLAGE, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK,
REPRESENTED BY CHAIRMAN.

BY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 01/12/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

M.N.KRISHNAN, J

==========

W.P.(C) No.35615 OF 2007

==========

Dated this the 1st day of December 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioners seek for a writ of certiorari to allow them to remit the entire due amount in the interest of justice. The property was sold in execution of the decree. Sale was confirmed. Symbolic delivery has been effected. Petitioners herein did not move any application under Order 21 Rule 89 or under Order 21 Rule 90 C.P.C. and had allowed everything to become final. Now they have moved this court for a direction to pay the amount. Nothing remains in this case. If at all there is any chance the only possibility is to approach the person, who had purchased the property and request for a reconveyance after paying the amount for which this Court has no role to play. Therefore the writ petition lacks merit and the same is dismissed.

M.N.KRISHNAN, JUDGE

Cdp/-